

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/502,873	02/11/2000	Derek L Collison	TEKN004/01US	5804
7590 09/30/2005			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			HO, ANDY	
12400 WILSHII	RE BLVD.			
SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025	·.	2194	
			DATE MAILED: 00/30/200	<

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r/		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/502,873	COLLISON ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Andy Ho	2194
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
Period fo	• •		
THE N - Exten after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replepriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the processive of the Office later than three months after the mailing display the office later than three months after the mailing display.	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX a, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	<i>July 2005</i> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final	·
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
•	Claim(s) 49-69 is/are pending in the application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	on.
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>49-52,54-56,58-62,64-66,68 and 69</u> i	s/are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s) <u>53,57,63 and 67</u> is/are objected to.		
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requireme	nt.
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved l	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	.
12)[_] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	ed,
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	d in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language processory. Cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	has been received.
Attachment	_	•	
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) blice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:
S. Patent and Tr		ction Summary	Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 7/15/2005.

2. Claims 49-69 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 53, 57, 63 and 67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 49-52, 54-56, 58-62, 64-66 and 68-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skeen U.S Patent No. 5,557,798 in view of Cohen U.S Patent No. 6,477,585.

As to claim 49, Skeen teaches in a computer-based publish/subscribe system having a subscriber application that has previously subscribed to receive a particular type of message (subscription request, lines 52-53 column 80), a computer-implemented method for establishing a certified messaging session between a publisher

Art Unit: 2194

application and the subscriber application (data producing and data consuming processes, lines 41-42 column 80), the computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving at the publisher application a certified messaging subscription request (receive a subscription request, lines 52-53 column 80), said certified messaging subscription request including an address where the subscriber application receives messages (network address of the subscriber, lines 8-9 column 34), and a subject name associated with and identifying the particular type of message (the subjects subscribed to, lines 9-10 column 34) for which the certified messaging session is to be established;

responsive to receiving the certified messaging subscription request, establishing the certified messaging session with the subscriber application (communication link be established, line 60 column 80) by adding the subscriber to a message ledger at the publisher application (the list is used by the data publisher to cause messages on particular subjects to be routed to the address of the subscriber, lines 13-16 column 34), and communicating an acknowledgment message to the subscriber application, the acknowledgment message to acknowledge the establishment of the certified messaging session (the producer service will be notified of the subscription, lines 18-21 column 28); and,

attempting to deliver a certified message of the particular type in accordance with the certified messaging session (subsequent data messages, line 31 column 100) by assigning a sequence number to the certified message (adds sequence numbers to packets, lines 45-46 column 5), addressing the certified message to the address where

Art Unit: 2194

the subscriber application receives messages (the list is used by the data publisher to cause messages on particular subjects to be routed to the address of the subscriber, lines 13-16 column 34), communicating the certified message from the publisher application to the subscriber application recording a delivery attempt of the certified message in the ledger, and retaining the certified message in the ledger at least until the publisher application has received a confirmation message from the subscriber application, the confirmation message confirming receipt of the certified message at the subscriber application (verifies that all packets have been received, line 47 column 5; when all packets have been successfully received, an acknowledgment message is sent, lines 51-53 column 5; bad or missing packets are then resent, line 51 column 5).

Skeen does not explicitly teach the subscription request including a subscriber name identifying the subscriber application.

Cohen teaches (line 62 column 5 to line 14 column 6) an event publish/subscribe system wherein the publisher maintains a subscriber database within its system wherein this subscriber database identifies each of the subscribers when these subscribers send subscription requests for events. It would have been obvious to apply the teachings of Cohen to the system of Skeen because by maintaining a subscriber database identifies each of the subscribers, the system allows the publisher to send the events to the appropriate subscriber that subscribes for a particular event as disclosed by Cohen (line 62 column 5 to line 14 column 6).

As to claim 50, Skeen as modified further teaches responsive to receiving, at the publisher application, a confirmation message confirming receipt of the certified

Art Unit: 2194

message identified by the assigned sequence number at the subscriber application, updating the ledger (flush the packets out of the retransmit buffer, line 54 column 5) at the publisher application to indicate the certified message identified by the assigned sequence number was received by the subscriber application (verifies that all packets have been received, line 47 column 5; when all packets have been successfully received, an acknowledgment message is sent, lines 51-53 column 5).

As to claim 51, Skeen as modified further teaches responsive to receiving at the publisher application a confirmation message confirming receipt of the certified message identified by the assigned sequence number at the subscriber application, deleting the certified message identified by the assigned sequence number from the ledger (flush the packets out of the retransmit buffer, line 54 column 5) at the publisher application (verifies that all packets have been received, line 47 column 5).

As to claim 52, it is a method claim of claims 50-51. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 50-51 above.

As to claim 54, Skeen as modified further teaches wherein the ledger is a file-based ledger, and the certified messaging session is persistent beyond termination and restart of the publisher application and/or the subscriber application (lines 41-55 column 46).

As to claim 55, Skeen as modified further teaches wherein prior to establishing the certified messaging session with the subscriber application, the publisher application publishes messages that are communicated to the subscriber application without the

Art Unit: 2194

publisher application having knowledge of the existence of the subscriber application (no need to receive any address or address related data, lines 10-25 column 81).

As to claim 56, it is a method claim of claim 49. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 49 above. Skeen as modified further teaches updating a ledger at the subscriber application to indicate the receipt of the certified message identified by the sequence number (... on the receive side, if all packets did not come in or some are garbled, a request is sent for retransmission. The bad or missing packets are then resent. When all packets have been successfully received, an acknowledgment message is sent..., lines 49-53 column 5).

As to claim 58, Skeen as modified further teaches after communicating a confirmation message to the publisher application, receiving a second certified message having a sequence number indicating a previous certified message was sent by the publisher application, but not received by the subscriber application (subsequent data messages, line 31 column 100; verifies that all packets have been received, line 47 column 5); and communicating a certified message request to the publisher application, the certified message request including a sequence number associated with a certified message that the ledger indicates was previously received at the subscriber application (... when all packets have been successfully received, an acknowledgment message is sent..., lines 49-53 column 5).

As to claim 59, it is a method claim of claim 54. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 54 above.

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 60, it is a system claim of claims 49-50. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 49-50 above.

As to claims 61-62, 64-66 and 68-69, they are system claims of claims 51-52, 54-56 and 58-59, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 51-52, 54-56 and 58-59 above.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/15/2005 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) rejection.

Applicant's arguments presented issues which required the Examiner to further view the previous rejection. The Examiner conducted a further search regarding the issues mentioned in Applicant's response. Therefore, all arguments regarding the cited references of the previous rejection are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,873 Page 8

Art Unit: 2194

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

SUPERVISORY PAZENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000